

06/06/95



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE

GRASSBERGER

12M2/0123

ROBERT S HONOR SANDOZ CORPORATION 59 ROUTE 10 E HANOVER NJ 07936

08/471,146

EXAMINER GOLDBERG, J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1205

DATE MAILED:

01/23/97

900-9523/CON

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

□ тні	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:			
a) 🔲	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection	
b)	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition , and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with			
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12 23 46 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:				
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:			
	a. There is no convincing showing upresented.	inder 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	ne proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier	
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).			
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).			
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
	e. They present additional claims w	rithout cancelling a correspon	ding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:			
2.	Newly proposed or amended daims the non-allowable claims.	would be	allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling	
3. 25	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed be as follows:	d amendment 🔲 will be ent	ered 🖅 will not be entered and the status of the daims will	
	Claims allowed: んぃょ			
	Claims objected to: Wo w	<u> </u>		
	Ciairis rejected.	<u> </u>		
	However; Applicant's response has overcom	o the following rejection(s):		
	Applicant's response has overcon			
4. If The affidavit exhibitor request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the vesting transfer external administration which diven not different administration which divent not different administration which divent notations are the second notation and the second not				
5. [5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.			
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. JEROME D. GOLDBERG				
		, 	PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200	